

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/811,073	11,073 03/26/2004		Wolfgang Papiernik	PAPIERNIK-3	8071
20151	7590	03/30/2005		EXAMINER	
HENRY M 350 FIFTH A		EISEN, LLC	DAVIS, OCTAVIA L		
SUITE 4714		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10118				2855	
				DATE MAILED: 03/30/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summan	10/811,073	PAPIERNIK ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Octavia Davis	2855						
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r reply within the statutory minimum of thir riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	'	·						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ 1	grange and the state of the sta							
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is <u>/</u> are rejected.								
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2004 is/ar								
Applicant may not request that any objection to								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. Hents have been received in A Poriority documents have beer Treau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No I received in this National Stage						
Attachment(s)								
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	• —	Summary (PTO-413) · s)/Mail Date						
 Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/26/04. 	·	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)						

Application/Control Number: 10/811,073

Page 2

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sartorio.

Regarding claims 1 and 5, Sartorio discloses a structure for measuring machines or machine tools comprising a primary crossbeam 6 disposed between movable support elements 1 and supporting the tool or the machine component, a rigid secondary crossbeam 5 supported between the support elements, and a measuring unit 11 connected with the primary crossbeam and constructed to measure a deflection of the primary crossbeam relative to the secondary crossbeam (See Col. 3, lines 7 - 29).

Regarding claim 2, the deflection is dependent on a weight and a force exerted on the tool or the machine component (See Col. 2, lines 31 - 35).

Regarding claims 3 and 4, the secondary crossbeam and the primary crossbeam differ in rigidity (See Col. 4, lines 34 - 43).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary

Application/Control Number: 10/811,073

Art Unit: 2855

skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

4. Claims 6 and 7are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sartorio in view of Stutznacker.

Regarding claims 6 and 7, Sartorio discloses all of the limitations of these claims except for a teaching that the measuring unit is constructed as a measuring instrument using laser triangulation. However, Stutznacker discloses a sewing machine for sewing stationary large surface material comprising crossbeams 6, 7 disposed between supporting members 1, 2 and sensors 55 – 59 for sensing distance (See Col. 6, lines 21 – 29).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sartorio according to the teachings of Stutznacker for the purpose of, measuring the distance position between a plurality of units to effect re-engagement means (coupling members) upon the selective change of predetermined distance (See Stutznacker, Col. 10, lines 54 - 59).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sartorio in view of Stutznacker, as applied to claims 1 7 above, and further in view of Halstrick.

Regarding claim 8, Sartorio and Stutznacker disclose all of the limitations of these claims except for a teaching that the secondary crossbeam includes a metallic surface. However, Halstrick discloses fastening for storage racks comprising crossbeams 14a disposed between upright posts 10 wherein the crossbeams 14a are composed of sheet metal (See Col. 3, lines 24 – 30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sartorio and Stutznacker according to the teachings of Halstrick for the purpose of, providing a storage mechanism which is simple to assemble, rigid in construction for carrying vertical loads and which is resistant to bending moments (See Halstrick, Col. 1, lines 34 – 42).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Meline et al (4,607,531) disclose a torsional and axial strain measurement extensometer assembly.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Octavia Davis at telephone number (571) 272 - 2176. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursdays (9:00 - 5:00), Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz, can be reached on (571) 272 - 2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872 – 9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,073

Art Unit: 2855

0

OD/2855

3/28/05

MAX NOORI

Page 5